UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,616	09/30/2003	Mina Chow	85847.42	7937
	7590 07/11/2007 DERS & DEMPSEY L		EXAMINER	
I MARITIME PLAZA SUITE 300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			GRAY, PHILLIP A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/676,616	CHOW ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip Gray	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			lross.
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	ress
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			andonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, wh	inhavaria latar In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the linal rejection, whi date of the final rejection	icnever is later. In on,
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	7 CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered h	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered or b) □ wil	il he entered and an c	evolunation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	vided below or appended.	The emerce and an e	Apianation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28,115-122,127,128,132 and 133</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

because:

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

See Continuation Sheet.

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

PAG

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner has fully considered applicant's arguments but they are not compelling. It is examiners position that given a careful reading, the claims as written, they do not distinguish themselves over the prior art of record. The examiner has the position that the rejections are proper because all structures are taught and are fully capable of performing all claimed functional, spatial, and operational limitations (see previous office action rejections). Therefore the standing rejections are proper and maintained.

PAG

Pass